File No. 572.
1989.

7 Collection No.

1 | 11 | 27
28.
Mysore Residency

Pros. / Serial Nos. 1 – 37

Subject.

Standing the provisions of the Bombay Survey & Settlement Act, I of 1865, to the Kaveripatta villages of Doddigunta, Bimmanagala and Byadarahalli

Previous References.

Later References.

7 409/93.
7 370/99
7 63/01
7 232/1912 (Record of rights in Cantonm.
N.7. 1283/1935.

MCIPC—FP—52DCE[illegible]3.—(1783)—27-5-25—39,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

OFFICE NOTE.

FILE No. 572 of 89.

S. No. 1

In letter No. 20 dated the 21st Dec 1889, the Surveyor stated that the Survey of main villages in the Bangalore taluk are in progress and enquired whether the valuation survey of Doddaballapur & Nelamangala villages belonging to the Colar station of Bangalore is desired.

S No. 2
No. 1287 of the 27th June 1890

The Collector of the Colar station whose opinion was invited, thinks that a valuation survey of the main villages in the station should be made, as no correct information can now be obtained from the village records.

There are 14 villages in the Colar station, 9 Gollas, 3 Kayengutta, 2 Jodi & 1 Sowcarmanya. The

Surveyor

is referred to only two Kayam-
ta villages, but the Collector wishes
a survey of the inam villages,
meaning perhaps all the villages
excepting the 9 Government villages.

It may be that the Dumbar
may ask for payment for this work; X
but before writing to the Dumbar, the Collector
may, if approved, be asked to name
the villages of which a valuation
survey should be made, & to report how the
charge for such survey (if made by the Dumbar) should be met. X
Submitted for orders.

B

M
3.2.90. The Collector may be addressed,
according to Ch: Comr. Instr. No. 143 dy. the
25: Aug: 77. a detailed survey is not
to be carried out unless at the desire
of the Talukdar of the village.

A.

M (10.2.90 Papers sent to the Collr: of B.
and ask him and at B.

Meanwhile collect what info: & evidence
is afforded about these villages: Inam
Commr relations with them, & how affected

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

by the modifications. What now
do they say & to what amount any specific
additions. If so can make up
a full note, it might be framed
for convenience of reference in future

Lee
10.2.90

draft to the Collector submitted for
approval.

AS
10.2.90. Letter to the Collector &c in statement
issued. No 446/6729 dt 12-2-90.
GR

D. No. 13

In letter No. 1492 of the 17th March
1890, the Collector states that a valuation
survey is required in respect of two
villages only: viz Vinnamangalam
& Vaddankunte. The cost of such survey
he says, will have to be borne by
Govt. as this survey will probably result
in the increase of revenue under
local cess levied from the Kaiyeuttadars

1. No. 4

is said, will bear the cost of
of boundary marks.

If the Inyenguttadans ask for a
<u>detailed</u> survey, the cost then will be
recovered from them.

The Durbar has not mooted the
question of cost of the valuation survey
in their letter of the 21st December 1897. Dl. No. 1.
The cost will not be much, & if it be
demanded, the Govt. of India may be
addressed on the subject, explaining
the reasons & advantages of such a survey.

If approved, the Dewan may be
informed in reply to Dl. No. 1, that the Resident
will be obliged if the Durbar will arrange
for a valuation survey to be made of the
two villages in question.

Submitted for orders.

Dl.
20-3-98. HL

What is "a valuation survey"

The Durbar writes of "the annual valuation survey".

2. Will it result in correct info. regarding the extent
& value of lands

What will be its cost?

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

4. Is the "valuation survey" re-
   -ver will it be advantageous

5. Are there only 2 main villages in
   Assigned Tract?

6. Why should not the "valuation survey"
   ~~extend to the whole tract~~?

7. What does Mr Hewetson mean by saying
   Gaturle has to bear the cost.
   does he mean the revenues of the Assigned
   Tract?

8 — It is not sufficient to say as a reason why
Govt should bear the cost that the survey
will probably result in the increase of
revenue under Local Cess levied from the
boundary. Definite and statistical returns
are necessary

9 Under what law will the boundary pay
the cost of erecting boundary-marks
and what has that got to do with the question
Whether they is as fossy and untangible
as it can be and I want full info on
all the above points.

Dlb 21/3



Office begs to submit the following replies to the Assistant Resident's queries on pages 4 & 5 of Note

A

I. A Valuation survey is a survey under taken to ascertain the amount of assessment leviable upon a village. In respect of inam & mayemputta villages this survey will not affect the fixed assessment or jodi to be paid by the puttadar but it is conducted solely for the purpose of calculating the quit rent & local fund cess chargeable on them. Please see the proceedings of the 2nd September 1867 in pages 66-67 of the Revenue Manual put up.

2 Yes. the survey is to be brought into operation in regard to the inam villages for which title deeds had been issued before the 12th October 1872, the quit rent having been calculated on their valuation as recorded in the Rd accounts, which was incorrect and

often

often grossly underestimated.

3 (2) The Office is unable to say what the cost will be. The Durbar may perhaps ask for a contribution calculated upon the period for which their Survey establishment will be employed on this work. The Superintendent of Survey in Ceylon (Col: Lyons) may be able to say what the probable cost will be.

4 This Survey will be advantageous to Govt inasmuch as the Quit rent now paid to the Govt is liable to be enhanced and the enhanced Quit rents will be derived every year, until it becomes again necessary to revise it.

5 (1) There are fourteen villages in the Kalutara Talukam; 9. Govt, 3 Kayenigutta, 1 Godakawela & Karavanagya. With the exception of the two villages unmeasured for reference, all the villages have been Surveyed & settled.

* Wadakante Vimanangala

7. Yes.

8 Perhaps the Collector may be asked to furnish the required estimates in communication with the Dy: Comm^r of Bhagalpur & the Supt^e of the Purnea Revenue Survey. Office is unable to supply this information.

9 Survey operations necessitate the erection of boundary marks in each field, & the ~~cultivators~~ occupants are responsible for the maintenance & good repair of the boundary marks of his field. Please see rule 1 of Appendix B in Survey manual, page 55 & sections 46 & 47 of Bombay Act N^o. 1 of 1865. pages 161 & 162. This is necessarily acted up to when a survey is held; and it would appear there is nothing to do with the question under disposal.

Office is unable to say anything definitely upon this subject as there are no papers bearing on the subject in the records.

Submitted. W. 29.3.90

A.J. 253-90.

Rs. 5

We might tell the Darbar that we do require a valuation survey of the villages but would just like to be advd of the probable cost before the Darbar in its own interests (& will alternately get the said survey of the Assd Tract) chooses to defray it

Rs. 1,250 - 31/3

Letter to Diwan issued No. 1164-89-2 Ap 90

In reply the Darbar in letter No. 1450-89 dtd 23.2.90 Ap. 90 says that the probable cost of the valuation Survey of the five villages in the C. & L.S. will be about Rs. 1640/-

The Darbar enquires if approval be had that the survey may be carried out - as regards the cost, we might wait till

(Continuation of Note or Precis).

In reply the Darbar in No. 465 D/ the 25th April 90 say that the probable Cost of the Volumetric Survey of the two Villages in the Circuit will be about Rs 164/-

The Darbar may kindly (if approved) be told that the Survey may be carried out As regards the cost we might wait till the Darbar make a demand

the Sardar make a demand, and then if we cannot possibly meet the charge from Savings in the budget of the assigned head the Govt: of India may be addressed (as suggested) on page 4 of this note: by that time if papers are forthcoming, the Note on these Villages and Rent Law subject will be ready

Submitted.

H.L. 29.4.90

The note should be expedited.

[illegible]

2/5.

There are no papers in office bearing directly on the Villages included within the limits of

pages 2-3.

Letter to Dewan issued No. 1122 dt. 7.5.90.
5729

1 +

the C. M. Chetty - a Note
on the subject by Lawrence
has been prepared by Mr James
(Asstdar) & which has been added on
which from a letter from
Mr Bevan.
The "Note" is enclosed below.

12. V. 90

In letter No. 807-34 dated 22nd April 1891 the Darbar say that the Kayengutta Varu of Dodkunti & Bimmanangala (2 villages in the Cdpd.) having applied for a detailed survey of the villages the Supt of the Mysore Revenue Survey has in anticipation of sanction completed the survey of Bimmanangala & is proceeding with the survey of Dodkunti - The cost of the

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

detailed survey is to be entirely borne by the Kayamgutteadars, but in the event of their giving the benefit of the survey settlement to the ryots, the future by the Darkast the cost of the survey is to be refunded to them. The Kayamgutteadars have however refused at present to give the benefit of the settlement to the ryots. The Darkast apply for sanction to the detailed survey of the villages.

Sanction to the valuation survey of the villages in question was Communicated in Serial 7. The Govt. undertook to bear the cost of such survey.

The advantages to govt. by the survey of the villages have been discussed in pages 607 of this vol.

~~Govt. having passed a public~~
~~a proclamation before this~~
~~condition~~

The revenue administration in the C.P.M.S. being carried on the lines of the revenue administration in Mysore we will have to refund the cost of the detailed survey now borne by the Kaigu. Gauttadars in case they should elect ~~to~~ hereafter to give the benefit of the settlement to the ryots which is not likely to happen seeing that the Kaigu gauttadars will not like to part with the power they now have of harassing the ryots.

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

we have already undertaken to bear the cost of the Valuation Survey
The cost of the detailed survey will probably be little more than the former which is estimated at Rs 165. At present we have to bear no cost whatever. The Durbar may now be informed that the detailed Survey of the villages is sanctioned on the understanding that the Kayangutteadars bear the entire cost of such survey but that the question of refunding such cost to the Kayangutteadars in case they should hereafter desire to extend the benefit of the Survey settlement to their villages is kept open & will be decided upon on its merits when the occasion arises.

Submitted for favour of orders.

C.K.S.

30/4/91

S-9

a "Note" by Mr. James Anderson on the Villages in the C. Jm. Pk (printed) is submitted for perusal. The Darbar maybe advised as suggested on page 14 of this note We commit ourselves to.

Bo Ming a

P. V. 91

Draft submitted for favour of approval.

C.K.S.

14/5/91.

Letter No 1495/572-9 dy 16-5-91 received from the Dewan Sl. N: 10

Dy B

With his letter N: 4894-128 of 15: June 1892 S. N. 11 the Chief Secretary to the Dewan forwarded a statement (prepared from the detailed survey approved in Sl. N: 10) showing the survey area & valuation of Vaiyamanagala & Wadakumbe villages in the Ja Mu States, & stated that the sub-registers & maps will be furnished

(Continuation of Note or Precis).

to the Collector by the Supdt: of Mysore Revenue Survey

Please see A in page 6 of the note. Though the assessments of these two Villages cannot be altered the results of the present Survey may render it necessary to alter the Quit rent and local fund cess.

I approved the Surveyors' letter and enclosed maps be communicated to the Collector & must for any necessary action. Off Cesses must also be fixed for approval

A.S.
N.L.
20/6-92

(Sd) C.R.
Yes

Actn: ~~Letter issued~~
8.7.92

(Continuation of Note or Precis)

to the Collector by the Supdt of Survey Revenue Survey.

Please see A in page 6 of note.

Though the assessment of these two villages cannot be altered, the results of the recent survey may render it necessary to alter the quitrent of local fund cess.

If approved, the Board's letter & enclosure may be communicated to the Collector, for his station for any necessary action. Draft endorsement submitted for approval.

A.L. 20-6-92 A.L.

Letter issued - A.L. 22.6.92

S. 13

In letter N. 872 dated the 24th October 1891, the Collector of Trichinopoly states that the Kangayanpattadams of Boddakkudi & Jeevanthally which have been surveyed, desire that these two villages might be brought under survey settlement

& request therefore that the Supt. of the Revenue Survey in Mysore may be asked to take the necessary steps in the matter as early as convenient.

Jeevanhalli village is by itself not a Mayenigutta village. It is a minor village attached to the Mayenigutta village of Doddakunta. Recently the villages of Doddakunta & Kimmanagutta were brought under detail survey. It is now desired by the Landholder to bring the former under settlement. What the cost of such operation will be & who should bear it has not been stated. The cost of the detailed survey was paid by the Landholder, but when settlement is extended the amount expended on the survey has to be refunded to the Landholder. This matter was remembered when the detailed survey was commenced but the question was kept open for decision when occasion arose for it. Vide pages 13 & 14 of Office note. Probably the cost of the settlement will

A

Vide the Rules for ... Sec. 8 (c).

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

be paid by the Government at whose instance it is undertaken in which case the necessary refund to them of the expense incurred in the detailed survey can be easily made out of such payment. There is nothing in office to show what the rulings are on the subject.

The agency of the tenants is employed in this work. The amount paid for the detailed survey was credited to ~~the~~ the Mysore Revenue Survey. It has now to be ascertained whether they will refund that amount should the case of the settlement ~~assessment~~ now proposed be paid.

The Collector may, if approved, be asked for complete information on the subject referring this office at the same time to the rules governing this case. Col Bryant may at the same

time he asked d.o for information as to

(1) what the cost of the settlement will be & who should pay it

(2) whether the cost immediately the Kayangulladam for the detailed survey owned to be refunded by the Survey Dept.

& (3) any other information that may be furnished on the subject

After obtaining full particulars, the Bunder may be asked to arrange for the extension of the settlement to the Vorkadakkuta village.

Submitted.

M.S.
1-11-43

2/11

Mr. Job has seen this case back in the office and has given further information on the subject as he did not see why Govt. should comply with the Kayangulladam's request, as Govt. would

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

not benefit for settlement
of the Villages in question.
The following is the Act
Law here also that Govt.
Govt. Treaty, Ann. Report: Superintendent
Rev: Survey - In answer
my queries, he has said
that:

(a) A Registered Owner can
ask to have his land settled

(b) Govt: are obliged to
do it under Sec: 236 of the
Land Rev: Code -

(c) Govt: will not benefit,
the Ryots or Inamdar may
benefit as the case may be.

(d) Govt: bears the cost of
settlement - If the Inamdar
only wants his land surveyed
and not adjusted the Govt:
Rates, he is charged 4 annas

Govt. This is already
in force. The Code
is not in force in
Inam in the C. M. Ch.
Dy

This has already been
done in the case
of Inam Villages.
Dy.

10

An Acre for Cost of Survey.

Sub: In favour of Godbis

Ref 13. X - 93

Should not an Auth

Special Requirement & the Settlement

considering the condition of fixed addi-

ment arrest / methods be adopted

enhanced. Answer Draft letter

to Supdt Myore Survey. Say. Collector

has. informed he doubt that the

Occupiers of the two Villages desire

Reserved under Sat Settlement

and ask as in 1. 2. 3 of note.

Letter under Encl

14/4

D.O. to Col: Grant issued - Dl. N. 15

In a d-o. letter dated 6: December 1893: Col: Grant furnishes the information called for in Dl. N. 15. He is of opinion that in the first place Chap: VIII & IX of the Myore Land Revenue Code should be extended to this Station. In this connection, please see paras: 3 to 8 of the Office note in file N. 409 of 1893. D. 15

The Land Revenue Code is the

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

Recent legislation having been brought into force from the 1st April 1894. The Revenue rules that were in force in Mysore prior to 1881 are applicable to the C & M Station, and it has not been explained either by Col: Grant or the Collector Dt: Mangl: whether there are any or no provision in these rules for extending survey settlement to Kanyan gutta villages. Should there be none, ~~the provision in the~~ rules on the ~~be framed~~ lines of chapters VIII & IX of the Mysore Revenue Code may be introduced ~~introduced~~ into the C & M Station with such alterations as may be necessary.

A copy of Col: Grant's letter may be sent to the Collector D.O & the latter may be asked, in the absence of any previous rules on the subject, to suggest the

to be framed under Sec. 28 of Bombay Act 1 of 1865, Vol. is seen from in the C. M. Station

HC

18

the alterations that may be necessary
in Chaps. VIII & IX of the Mysore Regulations
for the purposes of the Ceded States
submitted herewith.

Yours very obdt.

H.S.
8.12.93

16/12. D.O. to Colonel Chapman issued S. No. 16.
GR
13/12/93.

Col. Chapman states in his d.o. letter S. No. 17.
dated the 14th December 1893 that the
provisions of Chaps. VIII & IX of the Mysore
Land Revenue Code are practically
in force in the Ceded States where Bombay
Acts I of 1865 & IV of 1868 apply (vide page 17 of List of Acts put up) Slip A

Doddaballapur with Jeevanahalli being a village
leased in perpetuity for a fixed assessment
is an "alienated" village under Act I of 1865 (Bombay);
Sec: 4 of the Act empowers the Resident, whenever
such a measure may appear to him expedient,
to direct the extension of Survey. The holder of
the Village having desired a settlement,
action might be taken under Sec: 49 of the
Act to extend the settlement to the village.

Under

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

Under Sec. 7 of Act I of 1865 and 19 of Act II of 1868, a notification has to be issued by the Resident in the Gazette of India, extending to the Village certain provisions of the former Act and naming the officers who will exercise the powers or duties incident to the provisions so extended & including also any other directions which may be deemed requisite for carrying such provisions into operation.

Much correspondence & unnecessary delay would have been avoided if the Collector had referred to the above procedure in the beginning. He merely asked that the Superintendent of Revenue Survey be asked to take A.13 necessary steps in the matter as early as convenient.

As regards the expenditure connected with the extension of the Settlement, Col: Grant's d.o. of 6th December 1873 gives the A.15 necessary particulars. The matter might

might be left to the Collector who would
come to a settlement in communication
with the Kangeum Guttadar & the Surveyor
Deptt.

If approved the Collector may
be asked to forward, for the Resident's
approval, a draft notification
for extending settlement to Vadakkuthe
village as required by ~~the~~ Sec: 49
of Act I of 1865 & Sec: 19 of Act IV of 1868.
~~It is~~ Such extension is permissible at
the Resident's discretion (vide Sec 4 of Act
I of 1865) ~~this~~ and as the Collector has
recommended it, the extension of
the settlement may be allowed if approved. X
submitted for favour of orders.

H.
19-12-93

In concluding portion of his d.o. letter,
Col: Macaulay states that it would be
a good move if the Mysore Land Revenue
Code be extended to the Shenkottai Taluk. This will
necessitate a needless application to the Govt of India
in a matter which for all intents & purposes
is governed by the existing rules equally well. At present
no action seems therefore ~~now~~ necessary in this
direction.

H. 19/12/93 V.J/20/12

Draft to the Collector subd for approval.
H. 20-12-93

Letter issued - M. No. 16.

F. O.

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

A: 19

With letter N: 123 2 of the 5: Feb: 1894, the Collector of Kanara forwarded a draft Notification for the extension of Bombay Acts I of 1865 & IV of 1868 to the Kayemgrota village of Doddahunti with a view to this village being brought under Survey settlement

As the Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey, has to do this work, probably the Consent of the Bombay Govt. may be obtained for this being done. Col: Grant was Communicated with D.O. on the subject. He recommended the extension of certain chapters of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, but it has since been found that the Bombay Acts referred to before which apply to the Collectorate will be sufficient for the purpose. The draft Notification may, if approved, be sent to Col Grant & he may be asked if the

A-65

Notification will be sufficient for extending settlement to the Nayenguttu village of Doddamute.

Submitted for orders.

HL
9-2-94

The words with the Consent of the Nyaya Sastar may be added in para 2 of the draft?
Read

HL 10.2.94

Draft d.o. to Col. Grant submd for approval.

HL
12.2.94 D.O to Col. Grant issued. 14-2-94. S.N. 20.

In d.o. letter of the 15th Feby 1894, Col: Grant says that the notification proposed is issue in the lines of the Bombay Survey act for the extension of survey settlement to the Nayenguttu village of Doddamute seems to him to be right Col: Grant however suggests that an agreement be taken from the Gattudars binding him to certain conditions. Col: Nagpatti may be written to d.o. in the matter.

The

(Continuation of Note or Precis.)

The notifications may now issue but the assent of the Durbar & the Supt. of the Mysore Revenue Survey being engaged on this work may first be obtained. The Durbar may be addressed by

Three draft d.o. letters subs. for approval.

HS.
16-2-94

Do's issued — Sl. Nos 22 & 23.

D.24

In d.o. letter dated 22nd. February 1894, the Durbar communicates the consent of the Durbar to the employment of the Mysore Revenue Survey Dept. for the extension of the Revenue Survey & Settlement to the Kayenguttu village of Doddakunte in the S.t.n Taluk of Bangalore.

The draft notification received with Sl. No. 19 may now issue. It is submitted for approval.

HL
26-2-94

Precis of correspondence in File No. 572 of 1889 regarding the survey settlement of two Kayamgutta villages of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

S.1. In his letter No.20 dated the 21st December 1889 the Dewan of Mysore said that the survey of Inam villages in the Bangalore Taluk was in progress and asked whether the valuation survey of Dodkunte and Binnamangala, two Kayamgutta villages, (i.e., villages held on a fixed perpetual assessment) belonging to the Civil and Military Station should be made.

The Collector of the Civil and Military Station was consulted, and he

S.2 accepted the proposal of the Durbar.

S.5 The Dewan was then informed that the Resident accepted the offer made by him, but an enquiry was made as to what would be the cost of the survey.

S.6. The Dewan reported that it would be

S.7 Rs.164; he was then requested to

issue

issue the necessary orders for a valuation survey being made.

The Dewan in reporting that he had issued the necessary orders stated that the holders of the two villages were desirous of having a detailed survey, the cost of which they promised to bear themselves. The Resident conceded the wish of the holders on the understanding that they paid the entire cost of the detailed survey, the question as to whether such cost should be refunded to the Kayamguttadars in case they asked thereafter for a survey settlement being kept open to be decided on its merits. S. 8 S. 10

The Mysore Government reported the completion of the detailed survey and stated that their Superintendent of Survey would send to the Collector the maps and registers prepared during the survey. On this being intimated to the Collector, he reported that the Kayamguttadars wished for a survey settlement. S. 11 S. 12. S. 13.

Colonel Grant was then consulted as to the procedure to be adopted. He gave S. 14

the

S. 15. the following procedure:-

(1) Chapter VIII and IX of the Mysore Land Revenue Code should first be extended to the villages in question.

S.20 But it was pointed out to him that this Code was not in force in the Civil and Military Station and that there was no need to specially extend it as the necessary legal provision existed in Bombay Acts I of 1865 and

S.21 IV of 1868. He accepted this view and

S. 25 Notification No.799/572-89 dated 28th February 1894 issued applying these two Acts to the villages in question under section 49 of the former Act.

(2) If the Kayamguttadars consented to the settlement made by Government then according to the practice in Mysore and Bombay the whole cost of Survey as well as of settlement should be borne by Government. If they did not consent they should bear the whole cost getting in return maps and registers.

(3) It was open to the Resident to require the Kayamguttadars to execute an agreement binding themselves to give their ryots the same rights &c. as occupants in Government villages, to pay salaries and perquisites of village officers as in Government villages, and to cause to be prepared at their own expense such periodical or other agricultural or vital statistics as the Collector might require.

Extracts from Colonel Grant's demi-official letters bearing on points 2 and 3 were communicated to the Collector but it does not appear what action he took. Neither can legal authority for these two points be traced.

VS 16/4

E. P. B.—12-1904.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 5729 1899

A 21

Letter No. 2015 dated. 24 August 1905
from the Collector of Satara

SUBJECT.

Wadigunta & Vinjamangala villages in the Satara District

In the circumstances stated by the Collector, the proposed notification may be issued but the views of the Bombay might be obtained before hand as was done in the case of Nilakanta village, because their Survey Officer will carry out the work. Draft letter to the Secretary of Bombay is submitted for approval.

H.S.
28/8/'05

Approved
28/8/05

Rest The matter is not referred to Thana as any of the Bombay Code may govern.
A.W.

30/8.

The Bombay Act I of 1865 is to be

found at page 152 of the Survey Manual were placed just below the weight. The references have now been entered on the draft Act, but as the Bombay Act was already placed in the file no reference to it was made in the first instance. It should have been made.

30/4/05

Rec'd

Issued No 5086 dated 7th Sept 1905 S.27

E. P. B.—13-1804—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 5727/1887

A-25

Letter No. 2075 *dated* 1st September 1905
from the Collector, C & M Station

SUBJECT.

Boyadanhalli village in the C & M Station

The Darkast's views in the matter may be obtained, (A similar proposal in respect of two other villages in the C & M Station has been referred to the Darkast.)

A-27

Draft letter is submitted for approval

A.S.
8/9/05

8/9/05

Rect.

S.29.

Issued No. 5187 dated 12th September '05

R. P. B.—12-1904—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 572 of 1884

A-30

Letter No. Pol.-215 *dated* 18-Sept. 0

from The Deputy Commissioner.

SUBJECT.

Boddigunta & Bairanangala

in the C & M Station

The provisions of Standing Order No. 1

may now be extended to the villag

question as proposed by the Collect

in his No. 26. Draft is submitted p

A.J.
21/9/05

21/9/05

22.9.1

Rest.

5.31

Issued No. 5504 dated 25-9-05

2

R. P.B.—12-1904.—2,500.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 572 of 1889

0.32

Letter No. P/L. 220 *dated* 18th September 1905

from the Deputy Secretary

SUBJECT.

Inam village of Vigdamakulli in the Chikmagalur Taluk.

Survey & Settlement Act may now be extended to the village in question as proposed by the Collector, Chikmagalur in D. No. 28.

Draft notification is submitted for approval.

H.S.
21/9/'05

21/9/05

Recd.

Issued No. 5508 dated 25th September 1905

S.33

R. F. B —7-1906.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 572 of 1869

S.36

Letter No. 472 dated 11 February 1907
from the Collector, Coimbatore

SUBJECT.

survey & settlement of Uyyakondankottai village in the Coimbatore station

Reg B Se. 28

It was stated in the Collector's letter No. 2075 of 1st September 1905 that the Inamdar of Uyyakondankottai village agreed in writing to bear the cost of the survey & settlement operations & the work was taken up in the interests of the Inamdar who experienced much difficulty in collecting the revenue due by his tenants. It is not clear from the present Collector's letter whether any demand was made of the Inamdar to bear the cost, vide G.O. No. 114-6-4-1906 Revenue & Agriculture. The practice in a small tract like the Coimbatore district is not binding on the Govt. of the Coimbatore station. If approved, the Collector may be asked whether there is any objection to the amount being demanded from the Inamdar in accordance with the agreement in writing.

There is no provision in the budget to meet this charge if it be Govt. is to bear the cost.

16/2/07

2 The Secretary & Settlement was considered at the request of the Secretary who agreed to meet the cost of the operations & it is not clear why he should be exempted from this liability. The Secretary has expressly benefitted the Secretary more than the right as the express object of the operations was to facilitate the collection of the rents from the tenants. In the circumstances the procedure followed in Bombay & Bengal need not be applied in the present case. The papers may be sent (A.O) to the Collector for any further remarks which he may wish to offer.

3 Returned A.O. I agree that the Secretary can be 18/7/07
called upon to pay the Collector (A.O) Rs 7-0-0.

Notes—*continued.*

5

the cost. The reason I repeat the question was that Col. Grant's explanation of the principle observed in Bombay raises the question whether we should not adopt the same principle here, our survey and settlement regulations being based on those in force in Bombay.

[signature]

[illegible]07.

4. Submit for orders. The Collector is requested to call for the cost agreed to be paid by the Municipality — and as the Collector has no authority to recover it from him —, the Municipality may be asked to submit their claim by recovering the amount from the Collector.

Our survey & settlement is conducted under the Bombay Act I of 1865 (which has been extended to Sind & Upper Sind) & not under the later Bombay Land Revenue Code of 1879. There is nothing

X Page 153 of the Bombay Manual.

in the premises of Act: I of 1865 to civilities against the tenancy of the land. & the settlement of an adjacent village from the Lumadas who had given an undertaking to pay it'.

27/6/07

Rest

The Inamdar should certainly bear the cost & we may ask Colr: to realise it.

J.O.K.

28/6

Yes SL

2.6.1

HH

1/3/07

Draft letter is submitted for approval.

H.S.
1/3/07

1/3/07 Issued letter No. 2117 dated 6-3-07 S.37.

No. 20

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore, 21st December 1889

From

K. Sheshadri Iyer Esqre. C.S.I.,
Dewan of Mysore.

To, Colonel Sir Oliver St John K.C.S.
Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

Draft endorsement
not? below for approval.
N.
24.12.89

The survey of Inam villages in the Bangalore Taluk is now in progress and I beg to enquire whether you desire the usual valuation survey to be made of the villages noted in the margin belonging to the Civil and Military Station.

× Kayenguttay
Dodkunté
× Binnamangala

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt
K Sheshadri Iyer
Dewan

No. 4376/5729.
Resident's Office
Bangalore, 30 Dec. 1889

Copy forwarded to the Collector of the Civil & Military Station of Bangalore for opinion.

Mysore Secy 30/12

N. 24/12/89.

30/12 Asstt to the Resident

2

No. 1287.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.
Bangalore, 27th January 1890.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.



To

THE Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

SIR,

In reply to your endorsement No: 4376 dated 30th December 1889 on letter No: 5729 20 of the 21st idem from the Dewan of Mysore, I have the honor to state, that, I am of opinion, that the Valuation Survey of the Inam Villages included in the Civil and Military station should be made. As it is, at present, no correct information can be obtained, regarding the extent and value of lands, from the Village records.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Thomajatte

Collector

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 3

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

No. 444/5729

Dated 12th Feby 1890.

To The Collector & Dn. Station
of Bangalore

Sir,

S. No. 2

With reference to your letter No. 1287 dated the 27th January 1890, stating that a valuation survey of the inam villages included in the C & Dn Station of Bangalore may be made, I am directed to ask you to specify the villages referred to therein, and to report how the cost of the survey should be met, if the Inamdar makes a demand for it.

2 I am at the same time to refer you to the orders contained in the Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 143 dated the 25th August 1879, under which a detailed survey

is

is not to be carried out unless at the desire of the Natives of a village.

I have, &c.

[illegible]

Asstt. to the Resident

AS.
10.2.90. [illegible]

4.

No. 1472

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.
Bangalore, 17th March 1890.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.



To

THE Assistant to the Commissioner
in Mysore

SIR,

A 2

In reply to your letter No. 444/579 dated 12th February 1890 I have the honor to state that the villages requiring a valuation Survey are:—

Kayengootta, Binnamangala Do. Doddikunte — —

I am of opinion that the Government will have to bear the Cost of Survey, as it will probably result in the increase of Revenue under "Local Cess" levied from the Inamdars who will pay Cost-

Cost of erecting boundary marks.
Should the Inamdars, however,
desire a detailed Survey and
Settlement ... to ... be made,
the Cost will be recovered
from them, at the usual rate
of 4 annas per acre

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant

Edw. Hudson
Offg. Collector

D

No 1114/5729 Dy 2d April 1890.

To Dewan.

Sir.

I am directed in reply to your No. 20 d. 21-12-89. to say that the Resident will be glad to avail himself of your offer to make the annual valuation survey of the villages noted in the margin belonging to the Cr Cee. Stn, but would first wish to know what will be the probable total cost of such survey.

I have &c.

i/c.

× Kaviyuelta
Dodkunte
Bimmanangala

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 465-35-

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore 23d. April 1890

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

To

The Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1114/5729 dated the 2d. April 1890, I am directed to intimate that the probable cost of the valuation Survey of the Villages of Bimanangal and Doddkunte, as estimated by the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, will be about Rupees 572 and 112 respectively.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

for Chief Secretary

Resident to

~~COORG CHIEF COMMISSIONER'S~~ OFFICE.

Serial No. 1

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

No. 1422/5729

To

Dated 7th May 1890.

Cowan

Sir

In reply to your No. 465/35 d.

23-4-90 I am directed to say that as the cost is not likely to exceed Rs 165, the Resident will be glad if you will issue the necessary orders for the usual valuation survey of the villages noted in the margin belonging to the C & Ch. St.

Kaniquelle

Bodkunte

Biramangala

Lch 2/5

OM 2/5

Farm P.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1346

No. 807/34 63 | 27/4/91

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

Bangalore, 22nd/24" April 1891.

From,

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To,

The Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

Sir,

Serial 7

With reference to your letter No. 1422-5729 dated the 7th May 1890, conveying Resident's sanction to a valuation Survey being made of the Kayam-gutta villages of Doddkunte and Bimmanagala situated within the limits of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, I am directed to state for the information of the Resident that since the issue of the necessary orders on the subject to the Survey Superintendent, the holders of the villages having requested the Survey authorities to make a detailed Survey of the same, the cost whereof they undertook to bear themselves, the Survey Department in accordance with the practice in Mysore proceeded, in anticipation of sanction, to make a detailed Survey

of

to the detailed survey required by the holders.

I have &c

Sd J. P. Grant.

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

Copy.

A Freeman for Chief Secretary.

Copy

GOVERNMENT RECORDS

1891.

From,

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To,

The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

Dated 18th February 1891

No. 1204
154.

Survey Superintendent's letter No: 735 d/9 inst.

With reference to the above and his Docket No. 35 dated 18th April 1890, requests he will be good enough to state whether in view of the fact that the Inamdars themselves have asked for the detailed Survey and paid for it any payment will be due from the Revenues of the Civil and Military Station for the valuation Survey desired.

"By Order"

M of I. Ananda Rau
Chief Secretary.

"Copy.

A Secretary to Govt

MRK For Chief Secretary.

No. 794.

Survey Superintendent's Office.
Bangalore, 5th March 1890.

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state, in reply to your Docket No. 12904/154 dated 18th ultimo, that the practice in Mysore is to carry out the measurement, classification &c. free of cost should the Inamdars agree to extend the benefit of the Survey Settlement to his Ryots. In the present instance the Inamdars not having agreed to extend the Survey Settlement, have paid or will have to pay all costs, consequently, no payments will be due from the Revenues of the Civil and Military Station. A further question however may arise, should the Inamdars desire to extend a Survey Settlement to their villages, whether the authorities of the Civil and Military Station would follow the practice under the Mysore Government and return the costs. My own opinion is that such a course is right but I am of course unaware what view of the question

will be taken by the British Government

Johnson

Lt J. P. Gidpitt.

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue

Survey.

"Copy"

A. V. [illegible]

for Chief Secretary

Copy

"Note" on the Villages in the C. & M. Station of Bangalore.

(1) There are in the Civil and Military Station 14 villages in all. These are classed as follows :—

Government villages	9	Jodi	1
Kayemgutta	3	Sarvamanya	1
		Total.....	14

Government villages are those paying kundayum (*i. e.*, assessment) direct to Government. The ryots hold their land in such villages on "Pattas" issued by the Collector. The kundayum is fixed by the Revenue Survey for a period of 30 years from the date of the Survey Settlement, and is not liable to any enhancement during that period. The revenue, derived from each of the 9 Government villages, in the Civil and Military Station, is given in the margin.

Ulsoor	874	7	4
Dookenhully	331	7	9
Roodroohoome	53	3	0
Railway land in Dodagoontah ...	3	3	0
Ukkattimmana Hully	664	4	11
Dumooloor	557	10	7
Coopasundrum	99	13	0
Blackpully	199	9	6
Railway land in Baderhully ...	35	12	0

(2) *Kayemgutta* villages are those the lands attached to which are held on a fixed permanent, or perpetual assessment. The survey of such villages cannot affect the assessment. The local cess however, and quit-rent paid on Minor Jodi Inams, may be raised or lowered according to the valuation fixed by the Survey Settlement.

The revenue paid by each of the three kayemgutta villages in the Civil and Military Station, is given below.

Dodagoontah	526	3	6	Baderhully	394	11	8
Binnamungala	254	8	6				

(3) As the lands held by the kayemguttadar of Baderhully extend beyond the limits of the Civil and Military Station, this village was surveyed in 1884, in order to a correct apportionment of revenue of the village being made between the Mysore Government and the British authorities. Between 1881, the date of the rendition, and 1884, the whole of the revenues of the Assigned Tract were collected by Mysore officials, and paid into the Mysore Government Treasury. In the latter year, however, under the orders of the Government of India, the collections were all made by British officials, and paid into the Resident's Treasury.

(4) It is now proposed to survey the villages of Dodagoontah and Binnamungala. Leaving aside the question of the cost of the survey and how the amount is to be met, it may here be mentioned that it will not be possible, at this stage, to say what the result of the survey will be so far as the local cess and quit-rent are concerned, as this can only be known after the valuation of the village lands has been settled by the survey department.

(5) A *Jodi* village is a village in which the assessment has been reduced ; in consideration of which, certain obligations are imposed on the jodidar in regard to temples &c. There is only one such village in the Civil and Military Station,—Sonnarhully,—the lands attached to which have been greatly reduced owing to requirements for Military purposes. The quit-rent, now paid by the jodidar, is Rs. 13—11—3 per annum.

(6) A *Sarvamanya* village is a village the lands of which are granted rent free, the proceeds derived from cultivation being devoted to the up-keep of temples &c. The one village under this head in the Civil and Military Station yields a local cess of Rs. 8—7—4 per annum.

(7) The whole of the land revenue of the Assigned Tract amounts to a little over Rs. 4,000 per annum. The revenue is paid in direct to the Resident's Treasury, and by the Treasury credited to the Civil and Military Station Municipality.

(8) The transfer of the above 14 villages has, in no way, affected them, they are simply under British jurisdiction, and are administered by British instead of Mysore officials. They were included within the limits of the old "Bangalore Cantonment Municipality," the boundaries of which and the Civil and Military Station (or Assigned Tract) are almost indentical.

(9) The Maharaja, in the "Memorandum of Assignment" executed by him on the 5th April 1881, assigned the lands included in the Civil and Military Station to the exclusive management of the British Government, free of charge, and at the same time renounced the exercise of all jurisdiction in the lands so assigned.

10—5—90. R. H. JAMES,
Amildar.

(10) The following is an extract from a letter from the Dewan No. 1 dated 1st April 1884. File 17 of 1884.

(11) 6. Of the villages comprised within the Civil and Military Station, those marginally noted are entire villages, no portion of which is included within the jurisdiction of the Mysore Durbar.

1 Domlur,	7 Dodkunte burial ground,
2 Halasur,	8 Dodkunte Ry. ground,
3 Kuppasandra,	9 Kayemgutta Binnamangala,
4 Dukanhally,	10 Sarvamaniem Neelasandra,
5 Byadarhally Ry. ground;	11 Jodi Somanhalli.
6 Kayemgutta Dodkunte,	

Of the 5 other villages:—

(1). Akki Timmanhally, with the exception of 3 fields, nos. 8 9, and 10 is within the station limits. The fields in question will be added to the adjoining Mysore village Timmasandra.

(2). Sampigehally.—Only two kharab numbers of this village are within station limits. No separate Shanbogue, or accounts for these numbers are required, and they may be added to the adjoining station village.

(3). Upporhally.—Ten fields belonging to this village are within station limits, and they may be added to Bilakahally village, nearly the whole of which is within station limits.

(4). Bilakahally.—Two numbers 27 and 28 of this village are alone within Mysore jurisdiction, and they will be added to the village of Upporhally.

(5). Kayemgutta Byadarhally.—This pays a kayemgutta revenue of Rs. 378—13—0, a portion of the village is within station limits, and the remainder within Mysore jurisdiction. The Superintendent of the Revenue Survey has been requested to ascertain the proportionate gutta amount assessable on the portion within each jurisdiction. The part of the village, within station limits, may conveniently be treated as a separate village by itself.

(12) 7. Thus it will be seen that the Assigned Tract will consist, for the purpose of revenue management, of the 11 entire villages specified in paragraph 6 of this letter together with 3 other villages altered as above, viz: Akki Timmanhally, Bilakahally and part of Kayemgutta Byadarhally."

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 20

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

No. 1495
5729

To

Dated 16 — 5 — 189 1.

The Dewan of Kuyoe.

Sir

S/ 8.

In reply to your letter No 8073
d/the 22nd april 1891. I am directed
to say that the detailed survey
of Dodkumbé & Bunwanagala
villages is sanctioned on the
understanding that the Kayoe
guttadars bear the entire cost
of such survey, but that the
question of refunding such cost
to the kayoe guttadars in case they
should hereafter desire to extend
the benefit of a survey settlement
to their villages, is kept open & will
be decided on its merits when the
occasion arises.

I have &c.

CKd. 5/91

Ass.t Resident

No. 4894 & 1287

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore 15th June 1892.

17



From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,

To

The First Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore.

SIR,

S. 10

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1495/572.9 dated 16th May 1891 on the subject of the survey of the Rayenguttu Villages of Dodkunte and Pimanangala included within the limits of the Civil & Military Station of Bangalore, I am desired to forward herewith a statement showing the survey area and valuation of these Villages, and to state that the usual Sud Registers and Maps will be furnished by the Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey to the Collector of the Civil & Military Station.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Compd Chief Secretary

Copy sent

No. 157.

Statement showing the survey, area, and valua
included within the limits

Name of Maganny	No.	Name of Villages.	Lands under cultivation									
			Dry		Wet		garden		Total		Dry	
			Acres	Assessment	Acre	Assessment	Acre	Assessment	Acre	Assessment	Acre	Assessme
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Bangalore Taluk.										
Hulsur	1	Dodkunte Kayangutta C. M. Station	446-17	710	3-14	12	117-24	480	567-5	1202	7-29	10
	2	Banna-mangala Kayangutta C. M. Station	178-19	293	53-16	300	90-36	474	322-31	1067		

Dewan's Post
Bangalore
16th June 1892

on of Kaiyanguttu villages of Doddkunte & Bimmanapalya
of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

Waste lands						¼ part of the total assessment on waste land	Total waste land in the villages		Total of Assessment.		Remarks
Wet		Garden		Total							
Acre	Assessment	Acre	Assessment	Acre	Assessment		Acres	Assessment	Total of assess in col. 10,18 & 21	Total of assessment in col. 11,20,22.	
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25.
				7-29	10.	2-8	118-1.	22.	6 92-35	1226-8	
							88-14	17.	411-5.	1084.	

Thanda Rao
Chief Secretary

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 10

[DRAFT]—For approval.

No. 2102 / 572·9 M1

To

Dated 23—6 - 1892

[Here enter letter No. 4894-128 of
S. No. 11 & Enc. 15: June. 1892 from the Chief Secretary
to the Govt. of Bombay + Enclosure]

—

I/y forwarded to the Collector of Ahmednagar, for information & any necessary action with Reference to and in reply with his letter No. 1472 Dt. the 17th June 92.

2 It may be mentioned that a detailed survey of these two villages was ~~ordered~~ approved as will be seen from the accompanying copy of letter No. 1495 / 572-9 of the 16: May 1891 to the Govt. of Bombay.

S. No. 10

First Asst. Resident

H.
20-6-92.

13

No. 872.



OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.
Bangalore, 24th October 1893

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.

To

THE First Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore

SIR,

The Kanyengoettadars of Doddakunta and Jeevanhally, which have been Surveyed, desire that the two villages may be brought under Government settlement. I have the honor to request that the Superintendent of the Mysore Survey may be asked to take necessary steps in the matter as early as convenient.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedient Servt.

Thomasvalk
Collector Civil
Station

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 74

[D R A F T]—For approval.

~~No.~~ D.C

To

Dated 6 December 1893.

Hon. Colonel Smith.

The Collector of the C & Ch. has informed the Resident that the Reappropriations of Paddy Pundit & Jewan Lally Valleys within our boundaries are desirous of having their Valleys brought under Government Settlement.

It was not long ago that the Valleys were Surveyed & the Cost of the Survey was paid by the holders; & when the Settlement is extended to the Valleys, I presume we shall be called upon to refund the Cost of the Survey.

Before taking any action

in the matter Col. Sendra
will be glad if you can
form him (1) with a memo
as to what the cost of the
settlement will be, and
who is to bear it (2) whether
the cost incurred by the
Reservation already in
the detailed Survey will not
be refunded by Govt
Department.

Whether Govt: an object
to undertake a settlement
of this kind but Govt
appears to be willing
to guide one as regards the
C. M. Admn. In my view
the Settlt to be undertaken,
carried out under Sec. 73 of
the L. Rev: Code.

Col. J. C. Grant. R.S.
Capt. Mrs. Rule.

H.M. 11.9.18

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 74

[DRAFT]—For approval.

~~No.~~ D.C

To

Dated 6th December 1893.

Hon. Colonel Grant.

Sir,

I am directed by the C in Ch. to inform the Resident that the Rajrajeshwaridevi of Dodra Pandè & Jeewanlally Vilegs have no boundaries and divisions of having their villages brought under Government Settlement.

It was not long ago that the villages were surveyed & the cost of the survey was paid by the holders. When the settlement is extended to the villages Government will be called upon to refund the cost of the survey.

Before taking any action

(illegible)

6th Dec. /93.

My dear Ramchandra.

The following remarks may perhaps make matters clear as regards the desired settlement of the Kampalli villages of Dodkunte and Bunnangal (You have demanded in your note but Bunnangal appears in the correspondence).

The cost of survey was

Dodkunte Rs 173.4.0

Bunnangal Rs 102.12.0

and maps and Sud (Register of area description?) were furnished to the Collector under Remembrance Yadi No 79 of 19.3.92.

If the Jamadars desire to extend the survey settlement to the villages in question they should sign a stamped agreement form of which I will send. Chapters VIII & IX of the Mysore Land Rev. Code with fines of all kinds to be authoritatively extended to the villages in question (if not already done).

I will then give the Collector an estimate of the expected revenue under the survey settlement and if the Jamadars wish they can accept it and, in that event, if you follow the practice in Mysore, the whole cost will be borne by the British Govt but if the Jamadars do not wish the settlement as estimated by me, they will bear the whole cost themselves of classification as well as of measurement (the latter they have already paid).

The total cost for both measurement and classification will be roughly -

	Meast	classn	Total
Dodkunte	173.4	86.10	259.14.0
Bunnangal.	102.12.	51.6	154.2.0

No. the Collector said Doddahunte & Jeevanhalli. Please see in this connection Office note marked A on page 7 of 1/11 in this file.

There there will be some small expenditure connected with what is called the "Phut Pahani" a field inspection prior to settlement to connect old and new Numbers. The Collector can do this through his own people and I will give him the necessary instructions as to how it is to be done.

I take it that if Inamdars consent to settlement it will be done for them free of cost, otherwise they must pay all costs pertaining to returns mutation and Registration. It rests with the Inamdars to settle this point. In both Bombay and Mysore the cost is borne by Govt when Inamdars consent to settlement trying the agreement to pay the village Officers as in Govt villages and to keep records &c (Write me by an Form).

If you adopt the same course I am with you will have to refund the amt they have already paid for inquiry and the classification cost with I presume have to be paid to the Mysore Govt.

The above I hope will show you the mode of procedure sufficiently clearly —

Yours sincerely

B Frere.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Copy

Serial No. 1 1

[D R A F T]—For approval. 1 1

D. O
Ent.

To

Bangalore,
Dated 12th December 1893.

My dear Colonel,

Please see your office letter no. 872 dated 24th October 1893 regarding a request by the Kapugoutadars of Doddakunta to have their village brought under Government settlement.

There seems to be no rules in the office on the subject and I wrote to Colonel Grant and I send you a copy of his reply. Can you suggest the alterations necessary in Chapters VIII & IX of the Mysore Regulations with a view to applying them to the Cotton station.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/ C. W Ravenshaw

Colonel H. M. S Magrath,
Collector, Chamarajanagar.

17

Rec^d 13-12-93

THE RESIDENCY MYSORE

Bangalore 12/12/93

Confidential.

I enclose a copy of letter No 872 of 24 Oct 93 regarding arrears due by the Nagarsethadars of Doddaballapur Devanhalli & Hosakote Taluks for Fasli settlement.

You were to refer to the Govt under report & First Asst Col Grant and Secretary should of the Mysore Commissioner's first allotment

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No.

[D R A F T]—For approval.

No. 4411
572-89

To The Collector, (& Mr Stokes of Bangalore

Dated 21st Dec 1893.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 872 dated the 24th October 1893 stating that the Kanyenguttadar of Doddakunte (including Jivanahalli) has asked that ~~application for~~ that village may be brought under settlement and requesting that the Superintendent, Survey Revenue Survey, may be asked to take the necessary steps in the matter.

D.13

2. In reply, I am to ~~direct~~ say that the Resident has no objection to the settlement being extended to the Doddakunte village, and to ask you to submit, for his approval a draft

g-

of the notification required under
Sec: 49 of Act I of 1865 and Sec: 19 of
Act IV of 1868.

I have, &c

Asst. Commr. Resident

H.
20-12-93

No. 1232 Encl.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.
Bangalore, 5th February - 1894.



FROM

THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION.

To

THE First Assistant to the Resident.
in Mysore.

SIR,

A.18. With reference to your letter No: 4411 / 592-89 dated the 21st December 1893 I have the honor, as requested in therein, to submit for the Resident's approval a draft Notification authorizing the extension of the Bombay Acts I of 1865 and IV of 1868 to the Kayemgootta village of Doddakunte —

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obedient Servant.

Thomas Smith
Collector ex officio

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 10

[DRAFT]—For approval.

~~No.~~ with Enc

~~To~~

Dated 14th Feby 1894

A.15

My dear Col. Grant.

Thanks for your D.O. letter of the 6th December last regarding the settlement of the Kayemgutta village of Doddkunte in the Co. Dn. of Shimoga of Bangalore.

As the Bombay Survey & Settlement Act of 1865 as amended by Act IV of 1868 continues to be in force in the Co. Dn. of Shimoga there seems no need to extend the provisions of Chap: VIII & IX of the Mysore Land Revenue Code to it. I send you a draft notification the Resident proposes to issue in the matter & would like to know whether it is sufficient for the purpose & for the Mysore Survey Dept. to take the necessary action.

Rec'd 15.19

Col. J. P. Grant. 14/2/94

Yours

15th Feby 1894. [illegible]

GOVERNMENT HOUSE

My dear Ravenshaw.

I return the Draft Notice received under your D. O. of Yesterday regarding Kayempuṭṭa Village Goodsmuḷi, under the circumstances the Course the Resident proposes seems to me to be the right one. It remains for you to take such executive as you think right upon the Inamdar binding him duly to give his Ryots the same rights &c as accompany the Govt. Villages, to pay salaries and pensions to Village Officers as in Govt. Villages and to cause to be prepared at his own expense such periodical & other agricultural & vital statistics as the Collector may require.

So far as I can see the [illegible]

D. 20

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 9

[D R A F T]—For approval.

No.

To

Dated 20 Feby 1894.

My dear Col,

The Resident has approved
the ~~Resolution~~ Draft notification forwarded
with your letter No. 1232 of the 5th Feb:
1894, and it will issue as soon
as the Dewan has supplied his
assent to the Mysore Revenue Survey
Dept. being engaged on the survey
settlement work of the Woodlands
village within the limits of the Corporation.

I annex below an extract from
a letter from Col. Grant on this subject
for such action as may be necessary.

It remains with you to take such agreement
as you think right from the Inamdars
binding himself to give his ryots the
same rights &c as occupants in
Govt

" Govt villages to pay salaries & perquisites
" of village officers as in Govt villages &
" to cause to be prepared at his own
" expense such periodical or other
agricultural or vital statistics as the
Collector may require.

3. It is presumed that ~~arrangements~~ the expenditure
of the proposed settlement will be settled by you
in communication with the Survey Dept & the
Kanyemputtadar. yrs

Vide A ora page 25 of this book

Col: H. M. S Nappier
Collector, Ch. Station.

Ans. 16/2/94 18/2

Action taken below

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 23

[D R A F T]—For approval.

No.

RP.

To

Dated 20th February 1894.

My dear Dewan,

The Resident has ordered the extension of survey settlement to the Nanjangutta village of Doddanna in the Ist Station of Bangalore.

As we have no agency for carrying out this work it is proposed to utilize the services of the Mysore Revenue Survey Dept. Will you kindly let me know if this may be arranged.

I send you a draft notification on the subject which Col. Grant has seen.

Yours truly

Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer K.C.I.E.,
Dewan of Mysore.

Ans. No. 21
Issue for despatch
a copy of the draft notification is to be sent.

AL 15-2-94 14/8/94

24

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Camp. Chintamani
22d. Feb '94

My dear Major Ramsbotham.

In reply to your D.O. of the 20th instant I write to express the Consent of the Durbar to the proposed employment of the Mysore Survey & Settlement Debt for the extension of the Rev Survey and Settlement to the Khargam Sultan Village of Doddakunte in the Civil & Mil.y Station of Bangalore—

Yr. Sincerely
K Sheshadri

Draft

195

file 572
89

Resident in Mysore

Notification —

No 799/5 [illegible] 89 dated 28 ~~February~~ 1894

Under the provisions of section 4 of Act I (Bombay Survey and Settlement Act) of 1865 and section 19 of Act IV (an Act to amend Act I of 1865) as extended to the Province of Mysore by Act I of 1868, the Resident in Mysore ~~hereby~~ directs the extension of the provisions contained in those Acts to the Kayemgutta village of Doddakunte (including Jivanka in the Civil and Military Station Bangalore, so far as they can be made applicable to the said village

2 - The Resident in Mysore further with the consent of the Mysore Durbar, directs that the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey in Mysore or any other officer or officers authorized by him do exercise the powers or duties incident to the provisions of the said Acts so far as they concern the Survey and Settlement of the said Village -

Sd/-

Asst to Resident

To The Publisher, Gazette of India
for publication in Part II of the Gazette

No. 800

Copy forwarded to the Collector, for information & guidance, with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No 12320 of the 5th Feb: 1894.

No. 801.

Copy forwarded to the Supt Mysore Revenue Survey (Lieut the Dewan of Mysore) for necessary action in communication with the Collector, for information & guidance.

Asst to Resident

R. P. B.—6-1904—200.

No. 2015 Enc. 2

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Dated 24th August 1905.

FROM

J. L. Moore ESQ., I. C. S.,

COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Register No. ... 4605
Date of Receipt ... 25 AUG 1905
File No. 572/05

To

R. C. H. Moss King Esquire, I. C. S.,
THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HONOURABLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of the Honourable the Resident, a copy of the correspondence noted on the margen† passed between this office and the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore on the subject of a proposal to introduce Survey and Settlement into the Inam villages of Doddigunta and Bimanayangala which are situated within the limits of the Civil and Military Station.

2. The Inamdars have agreed in writing to pay the cost of the operation and to abide by all the Revenue rules etc: in force in Government villages in

† 1. Letter from the Collector to Survey & Settlement Commr. No. 1492 D. 22.6.05
2. Letter do — from — do — " 49 " 12.7.05
3. Letter do — from — do — " 25-7-05.

in the matter of granting puttas, maintaining accounts Etc.

3. As they now experience a great deal of trouble and inconvenience in collecting their revenue, I recommend that their request be granted.

4. If the Honorable the Resident grants the Inamdars' application, I request that the enclosed draft Notification extending the provisions of the Bombay Survey and Settlement Act (I of 1865), which is in force in the Station, to the villages named, may be Submitted for favor of his approval.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Collector.

The Honourable the Resident in Mysore.

Notification

No: Dated Bangalore, August

Under Section XLIX of Act No: I of 18
(Bombay Survey and Settlement Act) as applied
the Civil and Military Station of Bangalor
by and under the authority of the Notificat
of the Government of India in the Foreign Dep
ment No: 2252-I, dated the 7th August 1883,
offg: Resident in Mysore is pleased to extend
provisions of the said Act to the Kayangutta
villages of Doddigunta and Bimanangar
situate within the limits of the said Station

Collector.

Cl-page 15-37 the Mysore survey Manual.

Pages 100 & 107 of Proceedings and amendments in force in Mysore

Letter No: 1492, dated 22nd June 1905 from
Collector, Civil and Military Station Bangalore,
Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysu

I have the honor to forward herewith a
of letter No: 201 dated the 8th instant, received
the Station Amildar and its enclosures in ori
being an application from Messrs: A. Narrayan
and Sons, and a Muchalika, for the introd
of Survey and Settlement into their Kayam
Inam villages of Binnamangalam and Dod
which are within the limits of the Civil and M
Station.

2. I request that you will be so good
let me know if their request can be compl
On hearing from you, I shall address the Hon
the Resident on the Subject.

3. There are some papers in my office
the same Subject and from them I find tha

2

village of Doddigunta was Surveyed Some time previous to the year 1897, but that the Inamdar did not wish for a Settlement though at first he was willing for its introduction.

Please refer to your No. 329 dated 16th November 1897.

Letter No. 49 / S. 1 dated 12th July 1905 from the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

In reply to your letter No. 1492 dated 22nd June 1905, I have the honor to state that the application of Messrs. A. Narainsawmy Mood & Sons for the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the Kaira Gutta villages of Brimangala and Doddigunta situated within the limits of the Civil and Military Station can be granted and a Notification extending the provisions of Act I of 1865.

to

to the said villages duly published provided the applicants execute the lithographed form of Muchalika herewith forwarded.

Letter No: 87 dated 25th July 1905 from the Survey Commissioner in Mysore to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Adverting to correspondence ending with my reply No: 49 to your letter No: 1492 dated 22nd ultimo, relating to the application of Messrs: A. Narrainsawmy Moodr: & Sons, for the introduction of Survey Settlement into their Kayamgutta villages of Bunnangala and Doddigunta, I have the honor to request that the lithographed form of Muchalika forwarded with my letter may Kindly be returned, as the same is intended only to suit the requirements of the Land Revenue Law in force in Mysore and in consequence requires

Modification

modification before being used in the case of applications from the holders of alienated villages within the jurisdiction of the Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

2. The Kuchalika already executed by the applicants named in the foregoing para, — which accompanied your letter, is considered sufficient

---

"Copy.

Collector.

R. P. B.—12-04—1,000.

T

No 5086 Enclosure
of 7th September 05.

A. 26

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

27

Serial No.

File No. 572

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to Govt

Sir,

I am directed to
forward a copy (in letter
No. 2015 dated the 24 August
1905 from the Collector, Chan-
-nagama & of its
enclosures, relative to a
proposal to introduce survey
and settlement into the
Kaveripatna village of
Doddiganta & Bilimangala
in the Taluk of Channagama,
& to state that the Hon'ble Resident
will be obliged if the Govt
will be so good as to
favour

(Continuation of Draft).

[illegible]

forward him with their views

in the matter.

[illegible]

Thanking you,

I am,

Yours faithfully,

sd/R. M. King.

Asst Receiver

A!
28/8/05

28/8/05

2-8

R. P. B.—4-05—500.

No. 2075
Enc: 2

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.
Dated August 1905.
1st September 1905

FROM P. L. Moore ESQ., I. C. S.,
COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To R. C. A. Moss King Esquire, I. C. S.
~~THE~~ FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HONOURABLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

RESIDENT'S [illegible]
Cr No. 4799
of Receipt 4 SEP 1905
No. 574/1889

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of the Honorable the Resident a copy of the correspondence noted on the margin passed between this office and the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore on the subject of a proposal to introduce Survey and Settlement into the Inam village of Byadarhalli which is situated within the limits of the Civil and Military Station.

2. The Inamdar has agreed in writing to bear the cost of the operations and to abide by all the Revenue rules &c. in force in Government villages in the matter of granting puttas, maintaining accounts

× 1. Letter from the Collector to the Survey and Settlement Commissioner No. 1728 dated 18th July 1905.
2. Letter from the Survey & Settlement Commissioner to the Collector, No. 122/S.1 dated 31st August 1905.

×× (Herewith Enclosed.)

Accounts Etc.

3. As the Kayanigutteidar is anxious that the work should be done as soon as possible as he now experiences a great deal of trouble and inconvenience in collecting the revenue due by his tenants, I recommend that his request may be granted.

4. I submit for approval of the Honourable the Resident a draft Notification extending the provisions of the Bombay Survey and Settlement Act (I of 1865) which is in force in the Station, to the village named above and request that early orders may be passed in the matter.

Page 159 of Survey Manual

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant,

P. Anderson

Collector.

Letter No: 1728 dated 18th July 1905 from the Collector, Civil and Military Station Bangalore to the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honor to forward herewith the enclosed Application dated the 13th instant, received from D. Appayanna Chetty, holder of the Kayangutta village of Byadenhalli within the limits of the Civil and Military Station, requesting the introduction of "Survey and Settlement" into his village. I request the favor of your informing me if the Inamdar's request can be complied with. He is prepared to bear the cost of Survey and a formal Muchalika to this effect will be obtained and forwarded to you. On receipt of your reply, Steps will be taken to have the Survey Act (I of 1865) applied to the village.

2. In connection with the Inamdar's application now forwarded to you, I may mention here that he is anxious to have the Survey and Settlement introduced into his village as early as possible as there is at present a good deal of trouble in the collection of rents due from his tenants.

Letter No. 122/S.1 dated — August 1905 from the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

In reply to your letter No. 1728 dated 18th July 1905, I have the honor to enclose for your information Copy of Memo No. 50 dated 29th idem from the Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey and to state that the application of the holder of Kayamgutta Byaderhalli village may

may be granted.

Enclosure Memo No: 50 dated 29th July 1905.

In reply to the above, the undersigned has the honor to report that the Inam village referred to, may be taken up for detailed Survey in the month of January 1906, after the heavy Monsoon Tapasani work on hand is finished.

Sd D. Sham Rao
Dy. Supdt: Rev: Survey.

Copy

Collector.

Draft.

The Honourable the Resident in Mysore.

Notification.

No. Dated, Bangalore August 1905.

Under Section XLIX of Act I of 1865 (Bombay Survey and Settlement Act) as applied to the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore by and under the authority of the Notification of the Government of India in the Foreign Department No. 2252-I. dated the 7th August 1883, the Officiating Resident is pleased to extend the provisions of the said Act to the Kayamgutta village of Byaderhalli situate within the limits of the said Station.

Collector.

E. P. S.—12-04—1,000.

T

No 5787 Encs
8/ 12th September 05—

D.28

+
Return is requested

RESIDENT'S OFFICE. 29

Serial No.

File No. 571

[DRAFT.]—For approval. '99

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a copy of a letter No. 2075 dated the 1st September 1905 from the Collector, [illegible] Station of Bangalore, together with its enclosures, relative to a proposal to introduce survey and settlement into the Inam Village of Byadarahalli in the [illegible] Station of Bangalore, and to state that the Resident will be much obliged if the Durbar

(Continuation of Draft).

Umbria will be so good as to forward him at their early convenience with their views in the matter -

I have, etc,

Sd/ R. H. King.
Asst Min. Resident

HW.
8/9/05

G.R.S. 48]

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Pol. No. 215. GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore 18 September 1905

From

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

General & Revenue Department.

Agent's No. 5283
Date of Receipt 20/9/05
File No. To 5.27

(R. C. H. MOSS-KING, Esq., I.C.S.,

First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

5.27 With reference to your letter No.5086 dated the 7th September 1905, requesting to be favoured with the views of the Durbar regarding the proposal to introduce survey and settlement into the Kayamgutta villages of Doddigunta and Bimmatmangala in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, I am directed to state that the Durbar have no objection to the proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Kvenkataravaraiyengar

Secretary

17/9

MGCPB—4,000—24 2 06

R. P. B.—8-05.—1,000

131

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No.
File No. 572 / 89

[D R A F T .]—For approval.

From

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore

Notification

No. 5304 dt 25th September 05.

Under Section XLIX of Act No. I of 1865 (Bombay Survey & Settlement Act) as applied to the Coorg Districts by Regulation II of 1867 and under the authority of the Notification of the Govt of India in the Foreign Dept., No. 2252-I. dated the 7th August 1883, the Hon'ble Resident in Mysore is pleased to extend the provisions of the said Act to the Kaynampathy villages of Doddigunta and Beesanampalle situate

Pages 153 to 162 of Ist Survey Proceedings

Page 107 of Miscellaneous Proceedings, Mysore

situate within the limits of the said Station

Yr very obdt

Sd/ R. N. King.
Asst Secy to the Resident
in Mysore.

To

The Publisher, Gazette of India,
for publication in Part I of the Gazette.

W.5505-06

Copy of the foregoing notification is forwarded to the Collector, & the Station of Bangalore

Copy of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore's letter with reference to his letter W. Venkatasubbaiyah

No. 2015 dated the 24th August 1905

Letter Pol: No. 215 of the 18th Sept. 1905

At 26
30.

Sd/ R. N. King
1st Asst Resident

WS
21/9/05

21/9/05

G.R.S. 48]

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Pol No. 220.
En 3

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
Dated Bangalore 18 September 1905.

From

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Department.

Register No. 5260
Date of 20/9/05
File 572
1889 21-9-05

To

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I.C.S.,

First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

SIR,

S.29 Adverting to your letter No.5187 dated the 12th September 1905, forwarding copy of a letter from the Collector of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, recommending the introduction of survey and settlement into the Inam village of Byadarhalli in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and calling for the views of the Durbar in the matter, I am directed to state that the Durbar have no objection to the proposed introduction of survey and settlement in the village in question. The enclosures received with the above letter are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B K Venkata Varadaiyengar

Secretary.
18-9-05

MGCPB—4,600—24 2 05

R. P. B.—8-05.—1,000

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

33

Serial No.

File No. 572

[D R A F T .]—For approval. 1899

From

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Notification

No. 5308 Dated 25th September 05

Under Section X read with
No. 1 of 1865 (Bombay Survey
& Settlement Act) as applied
with Ch. II Station of Bangalore
by and under the authority
of the Notification of the Govt. of
India in the Foreign Dept.
No. 2252-I. dated the 7th August
1883, the Offg. Resident in
Mysore is pleased to extend
the provisions of the said Act
to the Kaggangutta village
of Byadarahalli situate

within

From 152 to 162 of 05 Bylaw
(Copy Revised
Copy head-up will be sent
for)

Page 167 of Miscellaneous Proceedings
Dec 1902
(Not put up)

(Continuation of Draft).

within the limits of the said Station

By order

Sd/P. R. King
First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore

To

The Publisher, Gazette of India,
for publication in Part II of the Gazette.

No. 5509-10

Copy of the foregoing notification is forwarded to the Collector, &c. in Station / Supdt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore, with reference to his letter No. 2075 dated the 1st September 1905

A. 28
32

W. Venkatavaradaiengar's letter Pol. No. 2207 of 18th Sept. 1905

Sd/P. R. King.
First Asst to Resident

H.
21/9/05

21/9/05

34

R. P. B.—4-05—500.

No. 2721

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.
Dated 9th November 1905.

FROM P. L. Moore ESQ., I. C. S.,
COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

RESIDENT'S Office
Register No 6317
Date of Receipt 10/11/1905
Page 572
1889

To R. C. H. Moss King Esquire, I. C. S.,
THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HONOURABLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that the agreement Executed by the Inamdar of Byadarhalli village in connection with his application for a Survey of his village and forwarded to you with this office letter No. 2075 dated 1st September last, may be kindly returned to me to be sent to the Survey Commissioner in Mysore who has called for it.

S. No 28

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

P. L. Moore

Collector.

Draft forwarding the agreement is sent for approval.

H.S.
11/11/05

11/11/05

Sent 9/11

R. P. B.—8-05.—1,000

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

35

Serial No.

File No. 572

[D R A F T .]—For approval. '99

From

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

No 6680 Enclosure
D/16th November 1905

To The Collector, & Ex Station
of Bangalore

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. 2721 dated the 9th November 1905, I am directed to return herewith the agreement executed by the Inamdar of Byadarahalli village in connection with the survey of that village.

I have, etc

Sd/ R. H. King.
First Asstt Resident

0.34

enclosure

AS
11/11/05

11/11/05

12/2/07

36

R. P. B.—4-05—500.

No. 472 Enc: 2

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.
Dated 11th February 1907

14/2/07 85-2. 12-2-07. 5748

FROM P. L. Moore ESQ., I. C. S.,
COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To F. P. Rennie Esqre, I. C. S.,
~~THE~~ FIRST ASSISTANT TO ~~THE~~ HONOURABLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

SIR,

(K 33) A

Adverting to the Notification of the Honourable the Resident No: 5508 dated the 25th September 1905 forwarded to me under your Endorsement No: 5509 of the same date, extending the provisions of Act No: I of 1865 (Bombay Survey and Settlement Act) to the Kayangutta village of Byderahalli which is within the limits of the Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to forward for orders of the Honble the Resident, the enclosed Copy of a letter from Colonel Grant, the late Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore in which he states that the cost of measuring and classing the Inam village amounts to ~~Rs~~ 114-6-4 and that this amount is due to the Government of Mysore.

(No: 419 dated 28-11-06)

2. It will be seen from paragraph 2 of his letter that Colonel Grant describes the procedure followed in respect of the payment of the cost referred to, in Bombay and in Mysore and in paragraph 3 he states that the matter is one entirely for the decision of the Resident and that the Mysore Government is entitled to be reimbursed.

3. A doubt having been raised as to why it was necessary for the Government to bear the cost as the Survey and Settlement of the village were undertaken at the request of the Inamdar for his own benefit, I referred the question to Colonel Grant (Unofficially) and I enclose a copy of his opinion and request a ruling of the Honourable the Resident on the point.

I may mention here that there are one or two other Inam villages in the Station limits which are likely to be brought under Survey operations in the course of the current year.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Collector.

following explanation of how similar cases are dealt with in Bombay and Mysore.

2. In Bombay and Mysore the procedure may be ~~baldly~~ broadly stated as follows.

(a) Alienated villages the holders of which agree to accept the terms of the settlement are surveyed at the cost of Government.

(b) Where the alienee declines to adhere to the terms of the settlement, that is, to have the settlement introduced, the cost is recovered from him.

3. It is entirely a matter for the decision of your Government as to how the cost is to be borne but the Mysore Government is

of

Letter No. 419 dated the 28th November 1906 from the Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore, to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 2809 dated 20th November 1905, I have the honor to inform you that the cost of measuring and classing the Kainguttu village of Byadarhalli calculated on the basis of the calculation applied to Government villages within the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, comes to ~~Rs~~ 114-6-4. This sum is due to the Mysore Government. Its recovery or not from the Kainguttadar rests with you, as you may decide upon a perusal of the following

course entitled to be reimbursed.

———•———

Copy

Collector.

Opinion of Colonel: J. P. Grant,
Survey and Settlement Commissioner
in Mysore dated 24th January 1907.

———•———.

The grounds upon which the
Bombay Government (also that of
Mysore) have from the very beginning
undertaken the cost of surveying alienated
villages on the condition that the Alienee
accepts and adheres to the terms of
the settlement, may be broadly stated
to be (1) that the Survey records are
rendered more complete and (2) that
what the Alienee's ryots gained in
an unimproved tenure and bettered
condition was also a public gain
Government evidently considered it
a desirable thing that the alienees
should give their Ryots the benefit
of the Survey Settlement and accordingly

held out an inducement towards that end. How far these considerations apply to the Civil and Military Station or how far the practice in Bombay and Mysore may be considered binding in the present case is for your Government to decide. Personally I think it will be an advantage to all parties concerned if Inam villages within the Civil and Military Station are settled but I do not think that Government is absolutely pledged or bound by practice elsewhere to pay the cost.

———:———

"Copy"

Collector.

R. P. B.—1-07.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No.
File No. 5

[D R A F T .]—For approval.

From

F. P. RENNIE, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

No. 2117 dated 6th March 1907.

A. 36

To The Collector, Chamarajanagar

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 11th February 1907 on the adjustment of the cost measuring & clearing the Kaveripatna village of Hyderabadi, & in reply, to say that as the Kaveripatna has agreed to pay the cost of the operations, it cannot be removed from this & the Durbar's claim on this account adjusted.

I have, etc.

for
Irish Asst to Resident

Extd 5/3/07

5/3/07 A/3/6

R. F. B.—1-07.—1,000.

(Continuation of Draft).

Serial No.

File No.

[D R A F T .]—For approval.

From

R. P. RENNIE, Esq., I. C. S.,

1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To